



1
00:00:00,790 --> 00:00:07,559

[Music]

2
00:00:13,020 --> 00:00:12,390

I thanks to the organizers for letting

3
00:00:15,750 --> 00:00:13,030

me be here

4
00:00:17,760 --> 00:00:15,760

the original tiled title was alternative

5
00:00:19,620 --> 00:00:17,770

biopolymers in the early evolution but I

6
00:00:22,769 --> 00:00:19,630

figured I should be a little more

7
00:00:25,079 --> 00:00:22,779

specific about what it is that I want to

8
00:00:27,150 --> 00:00:25,089

present today and so I chose this

9
00:00:29,790 --> 00:00:27,160

subtitle assessing the evolutionary

10
00:00:32,429 --> 00:00:29,800

potential of nucleic acid libraries with

11
00:00:34,740 --> 00:00:32,439

increasing increased information density

12
00:00:36,930 --> 00:00:34,750

and what I would like to show you today

13
00:00:41,189 --> 00:00:36,940

is a set of experiments that we started

14

00:00:45,330 --> 00:00:41,199

in the attempt to understand what is the

15

00:00:48,029 --> 00:00:45,340

real information density that is needed

16

00:00:50,750 --> 00:00:48,039

for a library to achieve evolution while

17

00:00:54,569 --> 00:00:50,760

still maintaining the least possible

18

00:00:57,829 --> 00:00:54,579

amount of well while still using the

19

00:01:01,740 --> 00:00:57,839

least possible amount of energy to do so

20

00:01:05,760 --> 00:01:01,750

so why would we want alternative

21

00:01:07,650 --> 00:01:05,770

biopolymers to to achieve that one of

22

00:01:10,020 --> 00:01:07,660

the reasons is of course that our innate

23

00:01:11,910 --> 00:01:10,030

prebiotic chemistry is hard and only

24

00:01:13,560 --> 00:01:11,920

works on under very specific sets of

25

00:01:16,020 --> 00:01:13,570

conditions and we heard about it all

26

00:01:16,740 --> 00:01:16,030

through this conference actually this

27

00:01:20,249 --> 00:01:16,750

morning

28

00:01:21,480 --> 00:01:20,259

Tyler Rush made a few good points about

29

00:01:24,420 --> 00:01:21,490

that

30

00:01:26,700 --> 00:01:24,430

also this one I should have raised it

31

00:01:28,590 --> 00:01:26,710

after this it's a fast talk this was

32

00:01:32,160 --> 00:01:28,600

very good actually

33

00:01:35,190 --> 00:01:32,170

so I think that a replicase is still our

34

00:01:38,219 --> 00:01:35,200

work in progress but people as we just

35

00:01:40,050 --> 00:01:38,229

saw in the Arab and in Holly girls love

36

00:01:42,709 --> 00:01:40,060

and enjoys lab actually they're doing

37

00:01:45,270 --> 00:01:42,719

great things with them but yet

38

00:01:47,670 --> 00:01:45,280

replicators are a key play player in a

39

00:01:50,340 --> 00:01:47,680

hypothetical RNA world and are still a

40

00:01:54,270 --> 00:01:50,350

little bit of a challenge that needs to

41

00:01:56,520 --> 00:01:54,280

be overcome another point is that alien

42

00:01:57,870 --> 00:01:56,530

life arising independently of life on

43

00:02:00,029 --> 00:01:57,880

Earth might have had a different

44

00:02:02,190 --> 00:02:00,039

molecular biology than what we see or

45

00:02:03,870 --> 00:02:02,200

was experienced here in the past and

46

00:02:06,420 --> 00:02:03,880

this is also something that people have

47

00:02:09,510 --> 00:02:06,430

been talking about quite a bit this past

48

00:02:11,970 --> 00:02:09,520

few days but even if the biopolymer of

49

00:02:13,290 --> 00:02:11,980

choice has been had been the same alien

50

00:02:14,820 --> 00:02:13,300

life might have chosen a different

51
00:02:18,360 --> 00:02:14,830
evolutionary pathway

52
00:02:19,880 --> 00:02:18,370
no matter what and even without having

53
00:02:24,110 --> 00:02:19,890
to go to all the planets

54
00:02:26,120 --> 00:02:24,120
or or before or after the evolution of

55
00:02:28,400 --> 00:02:26,130
life today's natural RNA

56
00:02:31,520 --> 00:02:28,410
post-transcriptional modifications are

57
00:02:33,440 --> 00:02:31,530
seen by many as remnants of an RNA like

58
00:02:36,980 --> 00:02:33,450
world and they might be considered as

59
00:02:39,500 --> 00:02:36,990
hints that in prebiotic times these

60
00:02:41,330 --> 00:02:39,510
modifications could have arise and

61
00:02:43,610 --> 00:02:41,340
during rough rather than after the

62
00:02:45,470 --> 00:02:43,620
establishment of the biopolymer so in

63
00:02:48,380 --> 00:02:45,480

other words these features that we call

64

00:02:49,670 --> 00:02:48,390

modifications or alternative now and

65

00:02:52,340 --> 00:02:49,680

here might have been the main

66

00:02:55,010 --> 00:02:52,350

evolutionary players in other times and

67

00:02:57,620 --> 00:02:55,020

players and in planets I did like these

68

00:02:59,780 --> 00:02:57,630

to add this quote from yesterday

69

00:03:02,720 --> 00:02:59,790

morning's talk from Christine Keating

70

00:03:04,250 --> 00:03:02,730

that I think submarines well what a lot

71

00:03:07,009 --> 00:03:04,260

of people have been saying these days

72

00:03:08,990 --> 00:03:07,019

what happens so there's this dichotomy

73

00:03:11,780 --> 00:03:09,000

in which what happens what happened who

74

00:03:14,509 --> 00:03:11,790

has versus what could happen or could

75

00:03:16,160 --> 00:03:14,519

have happened in this dichotomy the

76

00:03:19,190 --> 00:03:16,170

second is a much larger group of

77

00:03:23,240 --> 00:03:19,200

reactions so we need to start to

78

00:03:26,750 --> 00:03:23,250

investigate this I'll go back for a

79

00:03:28,580 --> 00:03:26,760

second so what as I said what we said

80

00:03:30,580 --> 00:03:28,590

what we decided to do is to set up a

81

00:03:34,340 --> 00:03:30,590

series of experiments a couple of

82

00:03:36,560 --> 00:03:34,350

initial in vitro selections starting

83

00:03:38,990 --> 00:03:36,570

with library so the the two selections

84

00:03:41,120 --> 00:03:39,000

that we want to do in parallel would go

85

00:03:43,160 --> 00:03:41,130

towards the same function but starting

86

00:03:46,090 --> 00:03:43,170

with two libraries that are the same

87

00:03:49,850 --> 00:03:46,100

length but carry different information

88

00:03:53,500 --> 00:03:49,860

contents so how do we achieve this these

89

00:03:55,699 --> 00:03:53,510

enrichment or depletion of information

90

00:03:58,039 --> 00:03:55,709

nothing would make me more happy than

91

00:04:02,360 --> 00:03:58,049

being able to do it with the naked

92

00:04:04,759 --> 00:04:02,370

systems or the neutral sites in the

93

00:04:06,770 --> 00:04:04,769

Taylor showed this morning but we are

94

00:04:10,280 --> 00:04:06,780

still lacking a molecular biology for

95

00:04:13,910 --> 00:04:10,290

those systems so what do we do have in

96

00:04:15,440 --> 00:04:13,920

the lab actually at home at Fame is this

97

00:04:17,449 --> 00:04:15,450

artificially expanded genetic

98

00:04:19,819 --> 00:04:17,459

information system that was developed in

99

00:04:21,979 --> 00:04:19,829

Boehner's lab in the last 20 years and

100

00:04:24,110 --> 00:04:21,989

for this system we do have a very

101

00:04:27,500 --> 00:04:24,120

well-established molecular biology

102

00:04:29,570 --> 00:04:27,510

structural biology synthetic biology and

103

00:04:31,430 --> 00:04:29,580

we have shown in the past years that we

104

00:04:32,910 --> 00:04:31,440

can actually use this system for

105

00:04:36,090 --> 00:04:32,920

evolutionary

106

00:04:38,280 --> 00:04:36,100

easily and effectively so the idea

107

00:04:40,620 --> 00:04:38,290

behind ages is to complete the

108

00:04:42,570 --> 00:04:40,630

watson-crick pairing concept as it's

109

00:04:44,400 --> 00:04:42,580

shown in this scheme by shuffling

110

00:04:46,860 --> 00:04:44,410

hydrogen bond donors and acceptors

111

00:04:49,950 --> 00:04:46,870

groups forming additional orthogonal

112

00:04:51,930 --> 00:04:49,960

nuclear base pairs they resemble net

113

00:04:53,520 --> 00:04:51,940

natural nucleotides in size shape and

114

00:04:55,530 --> 00:04:53,530

pairing geometries they are

115

00:04:57,390 --> 00:04:55,540

independently replicable do not

116

00:04:59,610 --> 00:04:57,400

interfere with the RNA folding or the

117

00:05:01,470 --> 00:04:59,620

DNA double helix structures they

118

00:05:03,120 --> 00:05:01,480

increase the information density and

119

00:05:05,130 --> 00:05:03,130

they have the potential to increase

120

00:05:08,130 --> 00:05:05,140

functionality and that's what we want to

121

00:05:10,800 --> 00:05:08,140

test so what we are going what we have

122

00:05:13,920 --> 00:05:10,810

done in this preliminary studies is we

123

00:05:16,650 --> 00:05:13,930

started out by using these pair Z's in

124

00:05:21,000 --> 00:05:16,660

peace where he has an extra nitrogen

125

00:05:25,560 --> 00:05:21,010

group and five while and also is has an

126

00:05:29,010 --> 00:05:25,570

extra hydrogen here at n 3 and P is

127

00:05:32,580 --> 00:05:29,020

lacking hydrogen right here and has an

128

00:05:34,290 --> 00:05:32,590

extra nitrogen in and v 2 so we pick

129

00:05:35,910 --> 00:05:34,300

this couple at first because it's the

130

00:05:37,470 --> 00:05:35,920

one that we have studied more and we

131

00:05:39,630 --> 00:05:37,480

know it works and it's a first step

132

00:05:44,100 --> 00:05:39,640

toward increasing the diversity and the

133

00:05:46,230 --> 00:05:44,110

information inside the library now the

134

00:05:47,940 --> 00:05:46,240

next step is to try to understand what

135

00:05:50,850 --> 00:05:47,950

is the sequence space coverage that we

136

00:05:53,480 --> 00:05:50,860

are trying to to achieve as I said we

137

00:05:56,520 --> 00:05:53,490

are going to start with same length

138

00:05:58,140 --> 00:05:56,530

libraries but one will have six letters

139

00:06:00,180 --> 00:05:58,150

DNA and the other one will have four

140

00:06:03,330 --> 00:06:00,190

letters DNA if you look at this table

141

00:06:04,830 --> 00:06:03,340

well signal space is defined for us at

142

00:06:07,830 --> 00:06:04,840

least his define is the number of

143

00:06:10,230 --> 00:06:07,840

library window block blocks to the N

144

00:06:12,660 --> 00:06:10,240

where n is the length of the library so

145

00:06:15,390 --> 00:06:12,670

if we have 19 nucleotides and six letter

146

00:06:17,880 --> 00:06:15,400

DNA we will achieve 100 percent coverage

147

00:06:20,310 --> 00:06:17,890

when we use one nano mole of material

148

00:06:22,140 --> 00:06:20,320

which is what you usually are able to

149

00:06:25,110 --> 00:06:22,150

handle in a in a laboratory experiment

150

00:06:27,720 --> 00:06:25,120

while if we have four letters DNA for

151
00:06:30,510 --> 00:06:27,730
the same amount you will actually have

152
00:06:33,240 --> 00:06:30,520
it about a thousand molecules a thousand

153
00:06:35,100 --> 00:06:33,250
copies for each sequence that you have

154
00:06:37,190 --> 00:06:35,110
in your library so obviously our for

155
00:06:39,570 --> 00:06:37,200
light of DNA always has an advantage

156
00:06:41,610 --> 00:06:39,580
compared to a six letter DNA when you're

157
00:06:43,439 --> 00:06:41,620
trying to do wet lab experiments

158
00:06:45,570 --> 00:06:43,449
evolutionary experiment at

159
00:06:47,519 --> 00:06:45,580
at least so if you look at the table and

160
00:06:49,649 --> 00:06:47,529
you keep going through the numbers you

161
00:06:52,640 --> 00:06:49,659
will actually see I'll do the math for

162
00:06:57,390 --> 00:06:52,650
you you will actually see that for every

163
00:06:58,980 --> 00:06:57,400

there is a the column space coverage

164

00:07:02,100 --> 00:06:58,990

decreases of one order of magnitude

165

00:07:03,869 --> 00:07:02,110

every five nucleotide lengths increase

166

00:07:06,899 --> 00:07:03,879

in the library for two bits of

167

00:07:09,299 --> 00:07:06,909

information difference so the longer you

168

00:07:12,929 --> 00:07:09,309

go the more you actually have the four

169

00:07:16,070 --> 00:07:12,939

letters DNA have an advantage versus the

170

00:07:19,170 --> 00:07:16,080

six letters the six letter DNA that is

171

00:07:21,200 --> 00:07:19,180

unless the functionality and the extra

172

00:07:24,809 --> 00:07:21,210

nucleotides that you are adding are

173

00:07:27,989 --> 00:07:24,819

actually more powerful for more

174

00:07:32,040 --> 00:07:27,999

effective for the type of phenomenon

175

00:07:33,899 --> 00:07:32,050

that you're trying to evolve down here

176
00:07:36,119 --> 00:07:33,909
we actually have the the actual

177
00:07:37,950 --> 00:07:36,129
libraries that we have used for these

178
00:07:40,769 --> 00:07:37,960
preliminary studies we started with an n

179
00:07:43,889 --> 00:07:40,779
25 for the full nucleotides we started

180
00:07:47,070 --> 00:07:43,899
with 0.5 nanomoles just this was a first

181
00:07:50,550 --> 00:07:47,080
run so we covered 25% of sequence page

182
00:07:52,969 --> 00:07:50,560
space for the standard DNA library while

183
00:07:57,899 --> 00:07:52,979
for the ages library we actually covered

184
00:08:00,749 --> 00:07:57,909
0.00 11% of the sequence space so we did

185
00:08:02,429 --> 00:08:00,759
the next step would be which reaction

186
00:08:04,409 --> 00:08:02,439
are we gonna pick as a proof of

187
00:08:08,070 --> 00:08:04,419
principle probably one of the easiest

188
00:08:10,589 --> 00:08:08,080

reaction to pick is selecting for DNA

189

00:08:12,629 --> 00:08:10,599

RNA cleaving DN enzymes raghava talked

190

00:08:16,050 --> 00:08:12,639

about it a little bit this morning it's

191

00:08:18,029 --> 00:08:16,060

a very well-known type of molecule it's

192

00:08:20,100 --> 00:08:18,039

very well studied so we have a lot of

193

00:08:22,139 --> 00:08:20,110

literature to compare to once we get

194

00:08:25,139 --> 00:08:22,149

some results and people have actually

195

00:08:27,959 --> 00:08:25,149

been done selection on the n enzymes for

196

00:08:32,189 --> 00:08:27,969

the past maybe decade and they were of

197

00:08:35,309 --> 00:08:32,199

course first selected by and in the

198

00:08:38,159 --> 00:08:35,319

Joyce lab Santora enjoys 1997 this is

199

00:08:40,800 --> 00:08:38,169

Craig Craig jerem did all of it is he's

200

00:08:42,930 --> 00:08:40,810

a technician in in at Fame he's a very

201
00:08:45,809 --> 00:08:42,940
skillet guy but he needs still a little

202
00:08:47,879 --> 00:08:45,819
training this is the schematic of the

203
00:08:50,550 --> 00:08:47,889
selection so we start with an RNA target

204
00:08:52,740 --> 00:08:50,560
that is here in blue that is attached to

205
00:08:54,990 --> 00:08:52,750
a primer that will amplify a library and

206
00:08:56,090 --> 00:08:55,000
at the Phi Prime and actually has a

207
00:09:01,069 --> 00:08:56,100
biotin

208
00:09:03,680 --> 00:09:01,079
that you see after the you got the

209
00:09:05,900 --> 00:09:03,690
double-stranded DNA you can remove the

210
00:09:07,550 --> 00:09:05,910
the one of the strands and everything

211
00:09:10,069 --> 00:09:07,560
all your system will be attached to it

212
00:09:13,309 --> 00:09:10,079
back to the biotin this portion which is

213
00:09:15,710 --> 00:09:13,319

your evolving ribozyme can fold over the

214

00:09:18,230 --> 00:09:15,720

target cleave it and you will actually

215

00:09:21,769 --> 00:09:18,240

have in the supernatant your evolving

216

00:09:23,540 --> 00:09:21,779

library that you can amplify and this is

217

00:09:26,059 --> 00:09:23,550

just a slightly modified from the

218

00:09:27,949 --> 00:09:26,069

original protocol to make it a little

219

00:09:30,199 --> 00:09:27,959

more effective and remove a few steps

220

00:09:31,340 --> 00:09:30,209

these are the conditions that we used is

221

00:09:34,579 --> 00:09:31,350

to millimolar magnesium because

222

00:09:39,290 --> 00:09:34,589

everybody cares about magnesium and what

223

00:09:41,329 --> 00:09:39,300

we care about is that we use pH 7.8 for

224

00:09:44,900 --> 00:09:41,339

a very specific reason that I will tell

225

00:09:47,180 --> 00:09:44,910

that I will say in a moment and okay so

226

00:09:49,610 --> 00:09:47,190

this is the the big deal we did the

227

00:09:53,150 --> 00:09:49,620

parallel in-vitro selection and what we

228

00:09:55,970 --> 00:09:53,160

could see is that while the standard DNA

229

00:09:59,150 --> 00:09:55,980

had a really hard time growing at least

230

00:10:01,340 --> 00:09:59,160

in our conditions at 25 nucleotide long

231

00:10:03,710 --> 00:10:01,350

library library and with only two

232

00:10:08,120 --> 00:10:03,720

millimolar magnesium and instead the

233

00:10:12,110 --> 00:10:08,130

ages they the known standard library

234

00:10:15,170 --> 00:10:12,120

grew very well and and and was happy so

235

00:10:17,509 --> 00:10:15,180

these by itself is already a surprising

236

00:10:20,960 --> 00:10:17,519

result because while we did expect that

237

00:10:23,449 --> 00:10:20,970

ages might have some some advantages

238

00:10:26,960 --> 00:10:23,459

because of the extra groups that we had

239

00:10:29,780 --> 00:10:26,970

we didn't expect that we would have such

240

00:10:31,490 --> 00:10:29,790

a such a big diverse difference also I'm

241

00:10:32,960 --> 00:10:31,500

a little disappointed we did these two

242

00:10:35,090 --> 00:10:32,970

or three times I think three times in

243

00:10:37,160 --> 00:10:35,100

total we really can't grow this DN

244

00:10:39,470 --> 00:10:37,170

enzymes with standard nucleotides it

245

00:10:41,210 --> 00:10:39,480

really looks like only four it's not

246

00:10:42,829 --> 00:10:41,220

enough for two millimolar magnesium

247

00:10:49,429 --> 00:10:42,839

thank you

248

00:10:53,360 --> 00:10:49,439

and for 25 nucleotides long sequence one

249

00:10:56,420 --> 00:10:53,370

reason might be in the Z nucleotide

250

00:10:58,429 --> 00:10:56,430

which I reported here again which has a

251
00:11:01,069 --> 00:10:58,439
pKa of seven point eight which is

252
00:11:04,759 --> 00:11:01,079
exactly the pKa then the pH that we use

253
00:11:05,850 --> 00:11:04,769
during selection so ideally you can see

254
00:11:08,370 --> 00:11:05,860
here the

255
00:11:10,650 --> 00:11:08,380
the output of the deep sequencing that

256
00:11:14,610 --> 00:11:10,660
we did so we actually were able to we

257
00:11:17,670 --> 00:11:14,620
have a technique to transform our ages

258
00:11:19,949 --> 00:11:17,680
DNA into standard DNA submitted to deep

259
00:11:22,410 --> 00:11:19,959
sequencing and then keep track of where

260
00:11:23,550 --> 00:11:22,420
the original season peas were so we

261
00:11:25,740 --> 00:11:23,560
applied that technique to these

262
00:11:28,970 --> 00:11:25,750
libraries and we actually sequenced each

263
00:11:32,190 --> 00:11:28,980

cycle of the selection and what was

264

00:11:34,350 --> 00:11:32,200

surprising surprising but maybe not is

265

00:11:36,660 --> 00:11:34,360

that there was a preponderance with the

266

00:11:39,240 --> 00:11:36,670

up Z's in all the major clusters that

267

00:11:41,250 --> 00:11:39,250

were that survived the selection here

268

00:11:44,100 --> 00:11:41,260

there's just a graphic representation of

269

00:11:45,780 --> 00:11:44,110

how the various different clusters

270

00:11:48,630 --> 00:11:45,790

appear and disappear during the

271

00:11:51,180 --> 00:11:48,640

selection this is a table that shows you

272

00:11:54,030 --> 00:11:51,190

at which psychology cluster will will

273

00:11:55,920 --> 00:11:54,040

appear but back to the Z's so our main

274

00:11:59,220 --> 00:11:55,930

sequence the cluster that actually

275

00:12:01,440 --> 00:11:59,230

showed most prominently in the deep

276
00:12:04,530 --> 00:12:01,450
sequencing analysis actually carries two

277
00:12:09,660 --> 00:12:04,540
for Z's and it has two sides of cleavage

278
00:12:12,540 --> 00:12:09,670
so in an ideal in in the hypothesis that

279
00:12:14,490 --> 00:12:12,550
the pKa of Z is actually very important

280
00:12:16,590 --> 00:12:14,500
here you can see how half of the

281
00:12:18,660 --> 00:12:16,600
molecules will be protonated half of the

282
00:12:21,690 --> 00:12:18,670
molecules will be deprotonated and they

283
00:12:24,870 --> 00:12:21,700
will act each of them every couple

284
00:12:30,180 --> 00:12:24,880
around one of the size of cleavage to to

285
00:12:34,230 --> 00:12:30,190
achieve cleavage so this is one example

286
00:12:36,360 --> 00:12:34,240
of all the of all this and she's acting

287
00:12:39,389 --> 00:12:36,370
clusters that we found we got three

288
00:12:42,150 --> 00:12:39,399

families of Ages DN enzymes when one as

289

00:12:44,130 --> 00:12:42,160

I just said is cuts at you nine and you

290

00:12:46,769 --> 00:12:44,140

thirteen see one two six and seven

291

00:12:49,230 --> 00:12:46,779

cluster one two six and seven then we

292

00:12:54,150 --> 00:12:49,240

have cleavage at a sixteen and then we

293

00:12:55,949 --> 00:12:54,160

have creases at a in a a ten sorry so we

294

00:12:58,010 --> 00:12:55,959

we got these three families and we

295

00:13:00,660 --> 00:12:58,020

decided to go ahead and and try to

296

00:13:02,819 --> 00:13:00,670

characterize exactly what was happening

297

00:13:04,530 --> 00:13:02,829

in each of these clusters we took a

298

00:13:06,990 --> 00:13:04,540

cluster one to begin with

299

00:13:09,180 --> 00:13:07,000

so in sis it will just have a you know a

300

00:13:12,180 --> 00:13:09,190

decent activity but this is a really big

301
00:13:13,980 --> 00:13:12,190
molecule you do see that the evolving

302
00:13:16,769 --> 00:13:13,990
portion of the library actually does

303
00:13:17,230 --> 00:13:16,779
best pairs very nicely with the RNA and

304
00:13:19,389 --> 00:13:17,240
then

305
00:13:21,010 --> 00:13:19,399
you have the Z's over here so I'm what I

306
00:13:23,079 --> 00:13:21,020
think is happening is actually that

307
00:13:25,300 --> 00:13:23,089
we're getting a very big 3d

308
00:13:27,430 --> 00:13:25,310
restructuring around the double

309
00:13:29,769 --> 00:13:27,440
partially double stranded area this is

310
00:13:31,150 --> 00:13:29,779
actually there's a GU bubble and here

311
00:13:34,870 --> 00:13:31,160
it's probably open because there's this

312
00:13:38,290 --> 00:13:34,880
huge structure area but we then so we

313
00:13:39,970 --> 00:13:38,300

checked that see one with no Z would not

314

00:13:42,070 --> 00:13:39,980

actually have the same activity and

315

00:13:43,720 --> 00:13:42,080

that's what is shown here in two really

316

00:13:45,970 --> 00:13:43,730

different representations so here you're

317

00:13:47,769 --> 00:13:45,980

monitoring the appearance of the bands

318

00:13:50,740 --> 00:13:47,779

that will show you cleavage here you're

319

00:13:53,019 --> 00:13:50,750

monitoring the disappearance of of the

320

00:13:55,000 --> 00:13:53,029

full-length product and the red one is

321

00:13:57,040 --> 00:13:55,010

the one that does not contain Z and the

322

00:13:58,930 --> 00:13:57,050

black one is the one that does contain

323

00:14:00,960 --> 00:13:58,940

see I'm running out of time so I'm just

324

00:14:04,150 --> 00:14:00,970

gonna go very fast

325

00:14:06,910 --> 00:14:04,160

we did find yeah this is like it's a

326

00:14:09,970 --> 00:14:06,920

very dense slide that basically we did a

327

00:14:12,730 --> 00:14:09,980

bunch of biochemistry assays in which we

328

00:14:15,519 --> 00:14:12,740

separated it two strands and we finally

329

00:14:17,980 --> 00:14:15,529

could see that we got a cake out of 10

330

00:14:20,139 --> 00:14:17,990

to the nine we get a km of 10 to the 5

331

00:14:22,840 --> 00:14:20,149

and a catalytic efficiency of about 10

332

00:14:26,139 --> 00:14:22,850

to the fifth per mole per minute that is

333

00:14:28,210 --> 00:14:26,149

very very comparable to I don't know if

334

00:14:31,930 --> 00:14:28,220

you guys know the work of David Perrin

335

00:14:34,269 --> 00:14:31,940

he works with heavily modified DN

336

00:14:37,120 --> 00:14:34,279

enzymes and he got exactly the same type

337

00:14:39,130 --> 00:14:37,130

well but we got similar catalytic

338

00:14:41,380 --> 00:14:39,140

efficiencies just with one extra

339

00:14:43,870 --> 00:14:41,390

nucleotide so that's that's very

340

00:14:47,050 --> 00:14:43,880

interesting conclusion conclusions and

341

00:14:50,500 --> 00:14:47,060

perspectives this is just a summary what

342

00:14:53,560 --> 00:14:50,510

we would like to do after is actually

343

00:14:56,380 --> 00:14:53,570

start to perform multiple parallel

344

00:14:58,540 --> 00:14:56,390

strand ages standard ages selections

345

00:15:00,280 --> 00:14:58,550

with increased and decreased lens number

346

00:15:02,889 --> 00:15:00,290

of nucleotides and extra nuclear base

347

00:15:07,290 --> 00:15:02,899

modifications so two four six seven

348

00:15:10,150 --> 00:15:07,300

eight and and whatnot I am going to

349

00:15:12,699 --> 00:15:10,160

thank of course Craig Jerome who is the

350

00:15:14,740 --> 00:15:12,709

one who did all of these all our funding

351
00:15:18,310 --> 00:15:14,750
agencies although this specific project

352
00:15:20,290 --> 00:15:18,320
is not yet funded and everybody at fame

353
00:15:24,180 --> 00:15:20,300
and firebird thank you I'll take any

354
00:15:29,230 --> 00:15:27,790
if there's time for one question okay

355
00:15:48,520 --> 00:15:29,240
all right

356
00:15:58,330 --> 00:15:48,530
we're gonna go to the back yes can you

357
00:16:00,640 --> 00:15:58,340
repeat that I'm sorry well see in our

358
00:16:03,210 --> 00:16:00,650
life studies actually we did have we did

359
00:16:06,580 --> 00:16:03,220
found that when these MPs and other

360
00:16:09,600 --> 00:16:06,590
pairs in the ages system are not best

361
00:16:12,370 --> 00:16:09,610
paired they actually contribute into

362
00:16:14,140 --> 00:16:12,380
into secondary and tertiary structures

363
00:16:17,530 --> 00:16:14,150

that we are still investigating but seem

364

00:16:20,620 --> 00:16:17,540

to be extremely interesting and not seen

365

00:16:23,500 --> 00:16:20,630

before in DNA and RNA what what I meant

366

00:16:25,000 --> 00:16:23,510

with that point was that we have also a

367

00:16:26,800 --> 00:16:25,010

lot of data that shows that when you

368

00:16:28,780 --> 00:16:26,810

substitute C and P in like

369

00:16:32,020 --> 00:16:28,790

double-stranded structures you actually

370

00:16:34,240 --> 00:16:32,030

do get the same the same foldings that

371

00:16:35,980 --> 00:16:34,250

you expect from known molecules you

372

00:16:37,960 --> 00:16:35,990

might have seen you know they hatch much

373

00:16:41,020 --> 00:16:37,970

in DNA paper with the spinach septum ER

374

00:16:43,600 --> 00:16:41,030

and some ribose which that we actually

375

00:16:45,250 --> 00:16:43,610

substituted these in piece for but yes

376

00:16:48,400 --> 00:16:45,260

it's true some of these nucleotides will

377

00:16:50,230 --> 00:16:48,410

actually provide I think we'll we don't

378

00:16:51,430 --> 00:16:50,240

have confirmation yet but I really think

379

00:16:56,050 --> 00:16:51,440

we'll have actually provided new

380

00:16:58,060 --> 00:16:56,060

structures for DNA and RNA molecules yep

381

00:16:59,380 --> 00:16:58,070

all right great let's thank ELISA again